



Woodrow Wilson
International
Center
for Scholars

Contact: Colin Finan
Phone: (202) 691-4321 (work)
(202) 841-5605 (cell)
colin.finan@wilsoncenter.org

News Release

Release No. 22-09
March 25, 2009

Synthetic Biology: The Next Biotech Revolution Is Brewing

Landmark report addresses regulatory oversight for emerging technology

Washington, DC – The safety of early applications of synthetic biology may be adequately addressed by the existing regulatory framework for biotechnology, especially in contained laboratories and manufacturing facilities. But further advances in this emerging field are likely to create significant challenges for U.S. government oversight, according to a new report authored by Michael Rodemeyer of the University of Virginia. Synthetic biology promises major advances in areas such as biofuels, specialty chemicals, and agriculture and drug products.

In *New Life, Old Bottles: Regulating First-Generation Products of Synthetic Biology*, Rodemeyer examines the benefits and drawbacks of using the existing U.S. regulatory framework for biotechnology to cover the new products and processes enabled by synthetic biology. According to Rodemeyer, initial synthetic biology products will be relatively simple modifications of current technology and can be addressed by existing biotechnology regulations with only modest revisions.

However, as the technology develops, regulatory agencies such as the Environmental Protection Agency and Food and Drug Administration will face challenges in assessing potential risks and the adequacy of controls, especially if complex synthetic microorganisms are released into the environment. Today's risk assessment practices and laws like the Toxic Substances Control Act and Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, simply are not designed to handle 21st century technological advances.

“Before synthetic biology matures, Congress and policymakers should consider how to rationalize and modernize the regulation of new converging technologies, instead of attempting to shoehorn each new area of technological development into laws previously written for a different set of issues and potential risks,” Rodemeyer argues.

A five-minute video interview with Rodemeyer is available at:
<http://www.synbioproject.org/news/project/rodemeyer/>.

“It would be easy to relegate discussions about oversight to the back burner. But procrastination bears a risk. A productive dialogue may become more difficult as

synthetic biology evolves and stakeholders become divided in their opinions about benefits and risks. The existing regulatory framework for biotechnology is the natural starting point for synthetic biology oversight. But the framework is at best a patchwork quilt of decades old guidelines and laws that could impede innovation, undercut public confidence, and compromise the promised benefits of synbio,” says David Rejeski, the director of the Foresight & Governance Project at the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. “Policymakers, industry, and other key stakeholders should start a discussion now on the basic question of whether existing regulations will work with advanced synthetic biology, and if not, what changes may be needed to ensure safe development and application of the science.”

For Rodemeyer’s report and more information about synthetic biology, see: www.synbioproject.org.

ABOUT SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

The Rathenau Instituut, a unit of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), describes synbio as the convergence of molecular biology, information technology and nanotechnology, leading to the systematic design of biological systems.

The U.S. is considered the world leader in this emerging field of science. Lux Research, however, claims government funding is more coordinated in Europe, led by the European Union’s 6th Framework program (FP6) which provides millions of euros in funding for synbio research. Corporations and venture capitalists are investing hundreds of millions of dollars into startups like Amyris, LS9 and Gevo. Some estimate that by 2015, a fifth of the chemical industry (worth \$1.8 trillion) could be dependent on synthetic biology.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

From 2000 until 2005, Mr. Rodemeyer was the Executive Director of the Pew Initiative on Food and Biotechnology, a nonprofit research and education project on genetically modified foods funded by a grant from The Pew Charitable Trusts. Before that, Rodemeyer held a variety of posts in the federal government, including Assistant Director for Environment in the Office of Science and Technology Policy in the Clinton administration, and Chief Democratic Counsel for the U.S. Congress House Committee on Science and Technology. From 1976 through 1984, he was an attorney with the Federal Trade Commission, working on consumer protection and antitrust issues.

Currently, Mr. Rodemeyer is an independent consultant on science, technology and environmental policy. He is also an adjunct instructor in the Science, Technology and Society Department in the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences at the University of Virginia.

His report was made possible by a grant from the European Commission to support projects on "Transatlantic methods for handling global challenges." It is based on independent research and does not represent the views of the European Commission or

the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. For more information, go to:
www.lse.ac.uk/nanoregulation.

#